

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action issued May 4, 2006, Claims 1-3, 9-11, 17-19, and 25-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Immonen et al. (Immonen), U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0077993 in view of Major et al. (Major), U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2004/0073626 A1. Claims 5-8, 13-16, and 21-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Immonen, in view of Major, and further in view of Dutta et al. (Dutta), U.S. Patent No. 6,615,212.

Claims 1-3, 5-11, and 13-19, and 21-27 are now pending in this application. Claims 1, 9, and 17 have been amended to more clearly recite the present invention.

The applicant respectfully submits that the present invention, according to claims 1-3, 9-11, 17-19, and 25-27 is not unpatentable over Immonen in view of Major because even if Immonen and Major were combined as suggested by the Examiner, the result would not be the present invention, as claimed. Claims 1, 9, and 17 as now recited claims analyzing the located translatable form to determine a format supported by the mobile device, translating the located translatable form transmitted from the application program from an initial format of the form to a format supported by the mobile device, the format supported by the mobile device being different than the initial format of the content, wherein the translatable form is analyzed and translated prior to transmission to the mobile device. In the present invention of claims 1, 9, and 17, the application server performs an analysis of the content to be transmitted to the mobile device prior to transmitting a translatable form to determine a format supported by the mobile device and

to be used to translate the translatable form. The application server then translates the translatable form and transmits the translated translatable form to the mobile device.

Immonen discloses a method and system for conducting payments with a wireless terminal by a user in exchange for goods and services rendered by a merchant. In particular, Immonen discloses that if content is only available in HTML format, the WAP gateway may attempt to translate it into WML, which is then sent on to the WAP client via wireless transmission. The user can make payment by using a Server Wallet. Immonen fails to disclose, as admitted by the Examiner, analyzing the located translatable form to determine a format supported by the mobile device, translating the located translatable form transmitted from the application program from an initial format of the form to a format supported by the mobile device, the format supported by the mobile device being different than the initial format of the content, wherein the translatable form is analyzed and translated prior to transmission to the mobile device.

Major fails to cure the deficiency of Immonen. Major discloses an information browser system and method that enables sending of information requests to remote information sources and receiving of requested information from the remote sources on a wireless communication device. Information in any of a plurality of formats, including WML, HTML, and WML-Script, is converted into a format in which the information can be displayed or otherwise further processed by the device. The analysis and translation is performed at the browser once the content is received and stored in a cache. See paragraphs 75 and 76. Major fails to disclose analyzing the located translatable form to determine a format supported by the mobile device, translating the located translatable form transmitted from the application program from an initial format of the form to a

format supported by the mobile device, the format supported by the mobile device being different than the initial format of the content, wherein the translatable form is analyzed and translated prior to transmission to the mobile device. Accordingly the combination of Immonen and Major fails to teach or suggest the invention of claims 1, 9 and 17.

By contrast, for example, according to claim 1, requires scanning content generated by the application program to locate at least one translatable form that requests information from the user. This required element of the present invention is not disclosed or suggested by Immonen, Major, or the combination of Immonen and Major. The combination of Immonen and Major only discloses translating an entire content, and does not disclose or suggest scanning the content to locate at least one translatable form that requests information from the user.

claims 2-3, 10-11, 18-19, and 25-27, which depend therefrom, is not unpatentable over Immonen in view of Major for the reasons discussed above with respect to claims 1, 9 and 17..

The applicant respectfully submits that the present invention, according to claims 5-8, 13-16, and 21-24 is not unpatentable over Immonen, in view of Major, and further in view of Dutta because even if Immonen, Major, and Dutta were combined as suggested by the Examiner, the result would not be the present invention, as claimed. Dutta discloses a method in a data processing system for providing content from a distributed database to a client. A transcoding proxy server receives a request for content from a client machine, retrieves the content from an originating server, and transcodes the content from the first format type into the second format type, and sends the content in the second format to the client. Dutta does not disclose or suggest analyzing the located

translatable form to determine a format supported by the mobile device, translating the located translatable form transmitted from the application program from an initial format of the form to a format supported by the mobile device, the format supported by the mobile device being different than the initial format of the content, wherein the translatable form is analyzed and translated prior to transmission to the mobile device.

Thus, the combination of Immonen, Major, and Dutta still fails to disclose or suggest the required element of scanning content generated by the application program to locate at least one translatable form that requests information from the user.

Therefore, the present invention, according to claims 5-8, 13-16, and 21-24, which depend from claims 1, 9, and 17, is not unpatentable over Immonen in view of Major, and further in view of Dutta.

Each of the claims now pending in this application is believed to define an invention that is novel and nonobvious over the prior art of record. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration of this case and early issuance of the Notice of Allowance are respectfully requested.

Additional Fees:


The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any insufficient fees or credit any overpayment associated with this application to Deposit Account No. 19-5127 (19111.0061).

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, all of the Examiner's rejections to the claims are believed to be overcome. The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and issuance of a Notice of Allowance for all the claims remaining in the application. Should

the Examiner feel further communication would facilitate prosecution, he is urged to call the undersigned at the phone number provided below.

Respectfully Submitted,



Chadwick A. Jackson
Reg. No. 46,495

Dated: November 6, 2006

Bingham McCutchen LLP
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007
(202) 424-7500